# MEMORANDUM PLACER COUNTY

# **Community Development Resource Agency**

TO:

Honorable Board of Supervisors

FROM:

John Marin Community Development Resource Agency Interim Director

**SUBJECT:** 

Implementation - Phase III Organization and Process Improvements, Fee

Structure

DATE:

April 4, 2006

<u>Action Requested:</u> Approve implementation of Community Development Resource Agency (CDRA) Phase III, associated budget revisions, authorization to proceed with an updated allocation ordinance, closure of the CDRC counter each Wednesday from 8-9 a.m. and authorization to proceed with fee adjustments to various land use applications.

Background: On March 29, 2005, your Board approved the creation of the Placer County Community Development Resource Agency. The Agency was established to improve the processes and functions related to land development in Placer County. The Agency, as proposed, will provide a coordinated response to all projects from the newly implemented Pre-Development meetings, improved Environmental coordination and tracking, process improvement, and one stop permitting in the Planning, Building and Engineering and Surveying Division. The Board of Supervisors approved formation of the Agency with three implementation phases: Phase 1 (creation of the Agency) was completed in March 2005; Phase 2 (implementation of the Agency structure) was completed in July 2005; Phase 3 (final program implementation) will provide the action necessary to complete the amelioration of the Agency. In working with various stakeholder groups, the Agency has streamlined the environmental review process and increased efficiencies in several areas:

**Pre-Development meetings** have curtailed the number of re-submittals required for a project, from as many as five to an average of two, thereby significantly reducing the review times on projects. A definitive project submittal checklist has been distributed, reducing any guesswork by applicants, and reducing review time.

Tahoe Land Development process improvements include mandatory Tahoe area Pre-Development meetings with appropriate staff. The Agency received strong support from Supervisor Kranz and CEO staff, which allowed Tahoe allocations to move forward from March 2006 to January 2006. By July 2006, the Agency will implement outside contract reviews for quicker turnaround time during peak periods, and with the creation of the Tahoe Zoning Administrator, increasing the consistency of service and policy implementation between land use departments and environmental coordination of all projects. An additional support staff position jointly used by the CEO at Tahoe, and Redevelopment staff, will assist in the implementation of Tahoe office functions.

CDRA staff, working closely with the County Executive Office, has implemented an action plan (Phase I, II) that integrates **Geographic Information System** technology (G.I.S.) to the project review process. The **Placer Land Use System** (PLUS) is an on-line land use application which tracks and reviews all projects submitted to front counter CDRA technicians. PLUS enables the

259

County, public and stakeholder groups to monitor the timeliness of review, amount of applications, and tracking of staff for specific tasks to review the project.

Phase III represents the final actions necessary to complete the transition of the CDRA (the Agency) as a complete entity, incorporating all land use functions under an umbrella agency. Actions requested for Phase III include:

- 1. Elevation of the Survey/Engineering Division to a full service land use department under the CDRA.
- 2. The fee adjustments are comparable, or below other jurisdictions (Roseville, Rocklin, Sacramento County) in most areas. I will be reviewing the proposed adjustments with stakeholders, including Building Industry Association officials and Placer County Contractors Association members. Most fees have not been adjusted since 1993, and are out of step with other agencies fee schedules.
- 3. Recognition of process improvements including mandatory Pre-Development meetings, mandatory Environmental Review Committee (ERC) meetings, processing milestones, standardized EIR format, stakeholder involvement, and ongoing review and input by stakeholder subgroups.
- 4. Allocation of 14 new positions in the CDRA, 1 reclassification, 1 new position in CEO, and the transfer of 2 Facility Services Department allocations, and 1 Department of Public Works allocation to the Community Development Resource Agency.

The increase in the number of positions within the Planning, Building and Engineering Services departments are the result of a series of stakeholder meetings that identified a desire to improve turnaround time in plan check, parcel map review, staff report availability, code enforcement actions and public access to reports before and after public hearing review. For example, subdivision improvement plan check turnaround is currently 6 weeks for the first plan check. With the appropriate staffing increase, the initial review would become 4 weeks. Parcel map review time and minor Boundary Resolutions are not reviewed initially for 8-12 weeks after submission. The CDRA standard shall be 4 weeks from submittal of a complete application. Similar standards are proposed for other applications in the Building and Planning departments.

The reallocation of two positions from Facility Services will provide a more complete engineering service function within CDRA. The two engineering positions currently provide support to the Utility Division and will continue to review the expansion of utilities and services for major/minor projects within the County.

## **CDRA ADMINISTRATION**

1	Account Clerk	Transfer from DPW
1	Accountant Auditor	Agency Accounting Support
1	Secretary Journey	Environmental coordination



### **ENGINEERING/SURVEYING**

1 **Assistant Director** (unfunded) 1 Associate Surveyor Survey and mapping/meet State mandate 1 Assistant Engineer Tahoe support Transfer from Facility Services/Plan check 1 Senior Engineer utilities Engineering Tech I/II Transfer from Facility Services/Plan check 1 utilities To support Engineering Services Director 1 **Executive Secretary BUILDING** 1 To support Chief Building Official **Executive Secretary** Admin Clerks E/J 2 CDRA counter support

1 Building Inspector I/II

Officer I/II

Code Enforcement

To support Tahoe code enforcement effort

Official and P-7 implementation

To support TRPA building inspector

service

# **PLANNING**

1

3 Senior Planners

To address current workload issues, support succession planning effort, initiate Tahoe

Zoning Administration hearings. One position is funded 1/3 by the Redevelopment Agency to support P-7 activities.

### **COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICE**

1 Admin Secretary

CEO support staff (Tahoe) funded ½ by CDRA, ¼ by CEO, ¼ by the Redevelopment Agency to support Tahoe Land

development and Administration

### 5. Reclassification

Building

Re-class 1 - CDRA Technician I/II to Senior CDRA

Technician

- 6. Authorize staff to continue review of land use processing improvements with various stakeholder groups:
  - a. Housing Stakeholder Group
  - b. Tahoe Stakeholder Group
  - c. Environmental Review Stakeholder Group
  - d. Processing Stakeholder Group
  - e. Improvement Plan Stakeholder Group
- 7. Fee Adjustments CDRA staff, after consultation with various stakeholder groups, is proposing to modify fees for the following applications:

	<u>Type</u>	Current F	ee <u>Proposed Fee</u>
a.	Major EIAQ - Initial Study v Negative Dec	vith 985	6,000
b.	Minor EIAQ	405	3,000
c.	Tentative Parcel Map	585/lot	1,200
d.	Pre-Development meetings	NONE	* 1,000
e.	Design Review – Type A	3,375	3,500
f.	Design Review-Commercial	170	1,000
g.	Design Review - Single Fam:	ily 55	200
h.	Minor Boundary Adjustment	735	750
i.	Variance	710/875	1,200
j.	MUP – Type C	545	1,750
k.	CUP – Type A	3,170	3,500
1.	CUP – Type B	2,505	2,600
m.	CUP – Type C	1,480	2,500
n.	Record of Survey	100	200
0.	Final Parcel Map	600/25 parcel	1,250/50 parcel
p.	Improvement Plans-MAJ	.75% - 1% of eng est	1.05% - 2.1%
q.	Improvement Plans-Minor	.75% - 1% eng est	1.05% - 2.1%
r.	Improvement Plans-Comm	.75% - 1% eng est	1.05% - 2.1%
s.	Final Map	1,700 + 20/lot	2,500 + 20/lot

<sup>\*</sup> Mandatory Pre-Development Meeting fee will apply to future planning entitlements if submitted within 1 year of meeting.

## **Fiscal Analysis**

The implementation of the Phase III process improvements, fee revisions and position allocations will increase the 2006-07 CDRA budget by \$1.47 million. The increase is offset by an estimated fee increase of \$1.05 million. The fee increase, in conjunction with Tahoe Land Development Processing Improvements and coordinated program efficiencies countywide (Environmental Review, Mandatory Pre-development Review), provide a complete land use / Development / Resource Agency. The creation of the Agency has resulted in a County cost of just over \$500,000 annually. The total number of positions in the Community Development Resource Agency will be 169, with a total budget of \$22 million.

262

# Conclusion and Recommendation

Approve the following actions relative to the development, implementation and refinement of the Community Development Resource Agency:

- 1. Approve creation of the Engineering and Surveying Department under the Community Development Resource Agency.
- 2. Direct CDRA staff to return to your Board with an ordinance adopting these additional allocations proposing; Attachment D, 14 additional positions in CDRA, 1 position in the County Executive Office, transfer 2 positions from Facility Services/Special Districts and 1 position from the Department of Public Works/Administration, and reclassify 1 position.
- 3. Approving closing counter services each Wednesday from 8-9 a.m. at the Community Development Resource Center to allow for training and staff development.
- 4. Approve a budget revision in the amount of \$291,501.84 to fund new positions for the remainder of fiscal year 2005-2006.
- 5. Direct the Community Development Resource Agency to return your Board with and updated fee ordinance.

Attachments: A) Phase III Position Requests; B) Fee Study Comparison; C) CDRA Budget Revision

cc: Tom Miller, County Executive Officer Nancy Nittler, Personnel Director



# Community Development Resource Agency Phase III Position Requests

### Allocation Status

### Position

Current New Transfer Reclass Proposed

CDRA	Admi	nietr	atior

CDINA AU	IIIIIII	IGHOII			, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		
	1				Accountant Auditor	To support Accounting Services to the Agency	
		1			Account Clerk	Allocation transfer of Acct Clerk position from DPW to CDRA	Filled by DPW Acct Tech
			**1		Admin Secretary to Admin Tech	Personnel support to all Agency staff.	Comparable with other county departments
	1_1_				Secretary-Journey	Environmental Coordination administrative support.	
17	2_	1	0	20	Total CDRA Administration		

### Engineering and Surveying

			**1		Director Engineering and Surveying		
	1_1				Assistant Director (unfunded)		
		1			Senior Engineer	Transfer from Facility Services - Special Districts	Provides plan check services
	1				Associate Surveyor	Provides support to Surveying and Mapping to meet State mandates	
	1				Assistant Engineer	Construction Inspections and Soils testing and Tahoe	
		11		L	Engineering Tech I/II	Transfer from Facility Services - Special Districts	Provides sewer construction inspection services
			**1		CDRA Technician I/II	Reclass to Sr. CDRA Technician	Provides additional staffing flexible
L	1_1_	<u> </u>			Executive Secretary	Support to Director	
45	4	2	0	51	Total Engineering and Surveying		

### **Building and Code Enforcement**

	1_1			Building Inspector I/II	Provide Tahoe TRPA, plan check and building inspection services	
	1			Code Enforcement Officer I/II	Provide general code enforcement support to Tahoe due to reassignment of supervising planner.	
		 **1		CDRA Technician I/II	Reclass to Code Enforcement Officer	
	1	 		Executive Secretary	Support to Chief Building Official	
	2	<u> </u>		Admin Clerk E/J	Community Development Resource Center - Greeters	
		1		CDRA Technician I/II		Provides additional staffing flexibility as move will separate front and back office tech support.
48	5	1	53	Total Building and Code Enforce	ment	

### Planning

	<u>'</u>						
	3					Zoning Administrator functions to Auburn and Tahoe (current services are provided by extra-help employee) and additional project support.	Some funding provided by Redevelopment
	1				(	Position will provide direction supervision to 2-Sr. Board Clk and 2-Board	
			**1		Executive Secretary	Reclass to Staff Services Analyst I/II	
41	4	0	0	45	Total Planning		

\* Personnel to include in allocation ordinance to BOS April 4, 2006

Total Community Development Resource Agency



<sup>\*\*</sup> Reclasses with incumbants require Personnel / Civil Service Commission process

# COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT RESOURCE AGENCY Fee Study Comparison As of March 2006

Full Cost

		ocess	_		New	Note on Placer County fee	Sanamanta Count.	Pagnilla	Rocklin	Lincoln	Other .
Service Area	impr	ovements	Curre	nt Fee	Recommended Fee	Note on Placer County ree	Sacramento County	1000	Initial Study/Neg Dec:	Circony	7
	ļ			. }					\$3,406; Migitated Neg	Mit Neg Dec \$1,780,Initial Study	·
Major EIAQ	\$	11,133.67	\$_	985.00	\$6,000		At Cost	Neg Dec tiered from Specific Plan EIR \$880/ \$8, 050 average cost	Dec: \$4,554	\$1,215	
Minor EIAQ	\$	4,903.54	s	405.00	\$3,000			\$880	\$3,406		
Tentative Parcel Map	\$	1,282.62	<b>S</b>	773.50	\$ 1,200.00	\$595/resulting lot	\$6,389	< 4 lots: \$1,160;	\$5,030	\$5,195	
Mandatory Predevelopment Mtgs	s	2,345.01	s		*\$1,000				No Charge		
			[								
Design Review Type A&B	\$	4,242.91	\$	3,375.00	\$ 3,500.00			\$3,160	\$2,066	\$1,000 deposit / at cost	
Design Review Commercial Remodel	\$	1,229.38	\$	170.00	\$ 1,000.00		\$5,597	\$3,160	\$4,075	\$1,000 deposit / at cost	
Design Review SFD	\$	559.52	5	55.00	\$ 200.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\$3,160		\$1,000 deposit / at cost	
MBLA	s	920.05	5	735.00	\$ 750.00	\$735 per adjustment		\$820	\$3,015	5	
ļ	1		ļ					Develop Standards-Public Hearing \$1,390; Parking Standards	Planning Commission:		
Variance	s	1,996,02	s	710.00	\$ 1,200.00		ZA: \$4,318; BOS: \$5,911	\$1,660;Interpretation - Hearing Required \$1,050; Zoning Interpretation - No Hearing \$50	\$2,543; Staff Review: \$637	\$1,330 (no hearing); \$3,655	
			1		1,000			l l	4007	\$1,550 (no nearing), \$0,055	
Variance - Other				975.00							
	+-		1.	875.00	\$ 1,200.00				<del> </del>	<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>
MUP C	15	2,210.56	12	545.00	\$ 1,750.00		\$4,40	\$2,29	\$7,60	1	
CUP A/B	1	5,970.58	١,	3,170.00	\$ 3,500.00		7,39	\$2,79	\$2.52	7 \$3,210	
	Ť		†*-		5,500.00	<del></del>	,,35	\$2,79	\$2,52	\$3,210	
CUP B	1_		\$	2,505.00	\$ 2,600.00						
CUP C	\$	4,176.21	\$	1,480.00	\$ 2,500.00		1	\$2,79	\$7.60	1 \$1,605	
}	1		1.								
			{		1		1		1	1	ElDorado: \$135 for two
Record of Survey	\$	1,205,24	s	100.00	\$200		At Cost - \$600/estimate			1	sheets + \$25 each additional Nevada County \$150
			1		1		At Cost-\$1,000		<del> </del>	<del> </del>	Nevaua County \$150
Final Parcel Map	\$	2,038.72	<u> </u>	\$650	\$1,250 + \$50/parcel	\$600 + \$25/parce		\$1,250 plus \$50/parce	\$3,843/depos	\$1.70	5
Improvement Diese Maior C.	1.	05 074 5-	١.				At Cost (+/- 1% - 2%		T		
Improvement Plans-Major Sub	12	25,071.56	15	10,500.00	1.05% to 2.1%	0.75% to 1% of eng estimate		At Cost (deposit = 2.5% of estimate	e) At Cost (+/- 0.5% to 29	<li>At Cost (deposit = 1% of est</li>	) <del> </del>
Improvement Plans-Minor Sub	s	7,322.34	2	850.00	1.05% to 2.1%	0.75% to 1% of eng estimate	At Cost (+/- 1% - 2%	1	1 22 24 4 4 6 6 7 6 7		
	Ť		1	200.00	1.00 / 10 2.1/8	O. TO 70 to 1 70 of eng estimate	At Cost (+/- 1% - 2%	At Cost (deposit = 2.5% of estimate	At Cost (+/- 0.5% to 29	6) At Cost (deposit = 1% of est	<b>"</b>
Improvement Plans-Commerical	3	12,599.44	\$	5,000.00	1.05% to 2.1%	0.75% to 1% of eng estimate		At Cost (deposit = 2.5% of estimate	e) At Cost (+/- 0.5% to 29	6) At Cost (deposit = 1% of est	
			(				1				
Grading Permits	s	538,12	. ا	140.00			\$750 deposit/at cost	(\$300 < 500cy), (\$1,000 deposit plus costs > 500c	(\$1,238 plancheck+\$5		
	+		1	7,10.00	t	<del> </del>	At Cost-\$2,500	(\$300 \ 30000)), (\$1,000 depasit plus costs > 5000	y) inspection) = \$1,8	for plancheck & inspectio	<u> </u>
Final Map	\$_	15,450.44	1 \$	650.00	\$2,500 + \$20 lot	\$1,700 + \$20/10		\$2,500 + \$10/1	ot \$3,843/depo	sit \$1,995 + \$25//c	nd.

<sup>\*</sup> Mandatory predevelopment meeting fee to be credited towards any future \* application fees if submitted within 1 year of meeting

1,149,676

\$



CDRA Phase III

			_	Budget	Rev	ision									
Position	Mos Funded	Month		05/06 <b>\$</b> 's	misc expenses PC,tele,cubbles		Total Budget Revision		Budget Revision Transfers	0	06/07 Full Year S&B Cost		06/2007 Full Year Costs	Funding	Source
Accountant Auditor (Acct Clerk from															
1 DPW)	3 \$	4,711.00	\$	19,220.88	\$	5,000.00	\$	24,220.88		\$	92,025.00	\$	122,393.25	n/a	
2 Account Clerk	10 \$	3,108.00	\$	42,268.80	\$	5,000.00	\$	47,268.80		\$	50,868.00	\$	67,654.44	n/a	
3 Secretary-Journey Associate	6 \$	2,818.00	\$	22,994.88	\$	5,000.00	\$	27,994.88		\$	49,600.00	\$	65,968.00	n/a	
4 Surveyor Assistant	2 \$	6,313.00	\$	17,171.36	\$	5,000.00	\$	22,171.36		\$	87,041.00	\$	115,764.53	50%	Fees
5 Engineering Executive	2 \$	5,454.00	\$	14,834.88	\$	5,000.00	\$ .	19,834.88	÷	\$	94,015.00	\$	125,039.95	50%	Fees
6 Secretary	2 \$	3,108.00	\$	8,453.76	\$	5,000.00	\$	13,453.76		. \$	60,411.00	\$	80,346.63	n/a	
7 BI I/II	2 \$	5,062.00	\$	13,768.64	\$	5,000.00	\$	18,768.64		\$	84,961.00	\$	112,998.13	100%	Fees
Code Enforcement	i i														•
8 Officer	2 \$	4,710,00	\$	12,811.20	\$	5,000.00	\$	17,811.20		\$	76,442.00	\$	101,667.86	n/a	
9 Admin Clerk	2 \$	3,108.00	\$	8,453.76	\$	5,000.00	\$	13,453.76		\$	53,742.00	\$	71,476.86	charged out to building occupants	
														charged out to	
10 Admin Clerk	2 \$	3,108.00		8,453.76	-	5,000.00		13,453.76		\$	53,742.00		71,476.86	building occupants	
11 Senior Planner	2 \$	5,062.00		13,768.64		5,000.00		18,768.64		\$	103,661.00		137,869.13	50%	Fees
12 Senior Planner	2 \$	5,062.00	\$	13,768.64	\$	5,000.00	\$	18,768.64		\$	103,661.00	\$	137,869.13	50%	Fees 1/3 funding from RDA
				•											at Supervising
13 Senior Planner Supervising Board	2 \$ I	5,512.00	\$	14,992.64	\$	5,000.00	\$	19,992.64	\$26,923.60 from RDA	\$	110,625.00	\$	147,131.25	\$ 40,385.40	Planner level (10/05)
14 Clerk	2 \$	3,875.00	\$	10,540.00	\$	5,000.00	\$	15,540.00		\$	88,157.00	\$	117,248.81	n/a	
Totals			\$	221,501.84	\$	70,000.00	\$	291,501.84	\$ 55,427.60	\$	1,108,951.00	\$	1,474,904.83		



### PLACER COUNTY

# **BUDGET REVISION**

	PO	ST I	DAT	E:						Cash Transfer Re	equire	d						Auditor-Controller				
DEPT NO.	DOC TYPE			Total	\$ Amount		TOTAL LINES		Reserve Cancellation Required  Establish Reserve Required											Executive		
	BR		58	33,0	003.68	}	13													f Superviso	rs	
	·	·		E:	TIMATED	REVEN	IUE ADJ	USTMEN	T	APPROPRIATION ADJUSTMENT												
DEPT NO.	T/C	Rev	fund	Sub Fund	OCA	PCA	OBJ 3	PROJ.	PROJ. DTL	AMOUNT	DEPT NO.	T/C	Rev	fund	Sub Fund	OCA	PCA	OBJ 3	PROJ.	PROJ. DTL	AMOUNT	
06	006				992233		8764			26,923.60	06	014				992224		1002			84,484.56	
06	900				992222		6755			18,768.64	06	014				992224		2840			15,000.00	
06	906				992233		8261			28,764.96	06	014				991140		1002			40,460.00	
06	006				991140		8272			21,003.12	06	014				991140		2840			15,000.00	
											06	014				992222		1002			43,487.36	
											06	014				992222		2840			20,000.00	
											06	014				992233		1002			53,069.92	
					<u> </u>						06	014				992233		2840			20,000.00	
	<u> </u>					·					10	015				199900		5600			196,041.52	
									<u> </u>										l			
	<u> </u>																					
									TOTAL	95,460.32										TOTAL	487,543.36	
		REAS	SON F	OR RE	EVISION:	Partial y	ear fund	ing for ne	ew Comn	nunity Developm	ent R	esour	ce A	geno	cy, Er	gineering	and Su	ırveying.	<u>,                                    </u>			
						Planning	g and Bui	ilding pos	sitions. O	ff-set by partial f	undin	g fron	n the	Rec	devel	opment A	gency	and incr	eased re	evenue.		
•			Der	artm	ent Head	rep	gred by_	UC	<u> </u>		. Ext							Date:	<b>3/</b> 2	9/06		
	2		,		upervisors	- April	m	<del>`</del>				•						Page:		.,,00		

Budget Revision # \_\_\_\_\_\_FOR INDIVIDUAL DEPT USE

PAS DOCUMENT NO.